Final warming of the Southern Hemisphere polar vortex in CMIP5 Laura Wilcox^{1,2} | Andrew Charlton-Perez²

1.NCAS-Climate, University of Reading 2. Department of Meteorology, University of Reading



National Centre for **Atmospheric Science**

NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL

l.j.wilcox@reading.ac.uk

H+R final warming date: Historical and RCP8.5

Project aims

How does an improved representation of the lower stratosphere change our understanding of past tropospheric climate and future climate projections?

Three specific questions:

- 1. Do 'high-top' models better represent past climatology and trends than those with a 'low-top'?
- 2. What are the anticipated future changes in final warming date?

3. What are the drivers of changes in final warming date?

Motivation





- Changes in the strength of the polar vortex are associated with persistent circulation anomalies in the troposphere and lowerstratosphere
- •Changes in final warming date have been observed in recent decades, and have been shown to be strongly determined by changes in lower-stratospheric ozone concentrations
- Final warming date has been shown to propagate downwards from ~1 hPa, so it may be sensitive to the location of the model top

Final warming date

- A measure of the annual cycle
- Identified by the minimum d^2T/dt^2 at 50 hPa [1] (**Fig. 1**)
- Responsive to changes in the thermal structure of the lower stratosphere
- More stable vortices will have a later final



second derivative (dashed) at 50 hPa. The final warming date is the date when the minimum in d^2T/dt^2 occurs.

ensemble

•High-top mean shows a trend towards later warming by 2100 in **RCP8.5**

Drivers of change

warming date

Bias in final warming date



- Final warming date is one week late in high-top models, and two weeks late in low-top models
- Most models underestimate interannual variability

Figure 2: Mean final warming dates for each model (1979-2005). Whiskers show ±2 standard errors. High-top models are indicated by hatching. Horizontal lines show the values from ERA-Interim (black) and CFSR (blue).

GHG and ozone forcing





Figure 6: Spread function (dotted lines) and energies of individual frequency modes for the low-top (triangles) and high-top (crosses) ensemble means. (a): historical and RCP4.5, (b): historical and RCP8.5. The inner pair of dotted lines show the 95% confidence interval for white noise, the outer pair show the 99% confidence interval.

• Main drivers expected to be greenhouse gas and stratospheric ozone changes

Different timescales, so different functional forms

- •Greenhouse-gas like frequency mode is always significant at 1% •Ozone-like frequency mode is always significant at 1% in the hightop case
- ▶ Significant at 5% in RCP4.5 in the low-top case
- •Higher energies show larger response to forcing in the high-top

Figure 3: (a): Global-mean annual-mean greenhouse gas concentration (CO₂ equivalent) for RCP4.5 (dashed) and RCP8.5 (solid). (b): Antarctic mean (75-90°S) SON ozone concentrations at 50 hPa, relative to 1900 values.

• Variety of lower stratospheric ozone timeseries

• Comparable turning points near 2000

Amplitude of ozone anomaly differs by up to a factor of 2

cases

• Multiple linear regression also shows a larger response in the hightop case

References

[1] Haigh, J. D., and Roscoe, H.K. (2009). J. Climate., 22, 5809-5819. [2] Cionni et al. (2011). *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **11**, 11267-11292.

•Final warming date is 1 week late in high-top models, and 2 weeks late in low-top models, compared to ERA-Interim and CFSR • Final warming date becomes later with ozone depletion, with a return to earlier dates as ozone recovers • High-top models show a trend towards later dates in RCP8.5, associated with the GHG induced increase in temperature gradient

Learn more:

Wilcox, L. J., and Charlton-Perez, A. J., (2013). J. Geophys. Res., in press.



www.met.reading.ac.uk/~laura

