## 4. DATA ASSIMILATION FUNDAMENTALS

... [the atmosphere] "is a chaotic system in which errors introduced into the system can grow with time ... As a consequence, data assimilation is a struggle between chaotic destruction of knowledge and its restoration by new observations."

Leith (1993)


## The vector notation for fields and data and the need for an apriori

The 'state vector', $\vec{x}$


NWP models: > $10^{7}$ elements $(5 \times n \times m \times L)$

The 'observation vector', $\vec{y}$


NWP models: $\sim 10^{6}$ elements
The 'forward model', $\vec{h}$

$$
\vec{y}=\vec{h}[\vec{x}]+\vec{\varepsilon}
$$

- No. of obs. << No. of (unknown) elements in $\vec{x}$.
- This is an under-constrained (and inexact) inverse problem.
- Need to fill-in the missing information with prior knowledge.

An 'a-priori' state (a.k.a. 'first guess', 'background', 'forecast') is needed to make the assimilation problem well posed.

## Vectors and matrices

Vector/matrix notation is a powerful and compact way of dealing with large volumes of data.
-A matrix operator acts on an input vector to give an output vector, e.g.

$$
\vec{x}^{(2)}=\mathbf{A} \vec{x}^{(1)} \quad\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{(2)} \\
x_{2}^{(2)} \\
\ldots \\
x_{N}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{11} & A_{12} & \ldots & A_{1 N} \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & \ldots & A_{2 N} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
A_{N 1} & A_{N 2} & \ldots & A_{N N}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{(1)} \\
x_{2}^{(1)} \\
\ldots \\
x_{N}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

-Matrix products do not commute in general, e.g.

$$
\vec{x}^{(3)}=\mathbf{A B C} \vec{x}^{(1)} \neq \mathbf{C B A} \vec{x}^{(1)}
$$

-Some matrices can be inverted (must be 'square' and non-singluar), e.g.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{(1)} \\
x_{2}^{(1)} \\
\ldots \\
x_{N}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{11} & \left(A^{-1}\right)_{12} & \ldots & \left(A^{-1}\right)_{1 N} \\
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{21} & \left(A^{-1}\right)_{22} & \ldots & \left(A^{-1}\right)_{2 N} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{N 1} & \left(A^{-1}\right)_{N 2} & \ldots & \left(A^{-1}\right)_{N N}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{(2)} \\
x_{2}^{(2)} \\
\ldots \\
x_{N}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

(matrices are complicated to invert, ie $\left(A^{-1}\right)_{i j} \neq A_{i j}^{-1}$.)
-The matrix transpose make rows into columns and columns into rows (also for vectors), e.g.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{11} & A_{12} & \ldots & A_{1 N} \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & \ldots & A_{2 N} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
A_{N 1} & A_{N 2} & \ldots & A_{N N}
\end{array}\right), \mathbf{A}^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{11} & A_{21} & \ldots & A_{N 1} \\
A_{12} & A_{22} & \ldots & A_{N 2} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
A_{1 N} & A_{2 N} & \ldots & A_{N N}
\end{array}\right) \\
\vec{x}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
\ldots \\
x_{N}
\end{array}\right), \quad \vec{x}^{T}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right. \\
\left.x_{N}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

-The inner product ('scalar' or 'dot' product), e.g.

$$
\vec{x}^{(2)^{T}} \vec{x}^{(1)}=x_{1}^{(2)} x_{1}^{(1)}+x_{2}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)}+\ldots+x_{N}^{(2)} x_{N}^{(1)}=\text { scalar }
$$

-The outer product (a matrix), e.g.

$$
\vec{x}^{(2)} \vec{x}^{(1)^{T}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1}^{(2)} x_{1}^{(1)} & x_{1}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)} & \ldots & x_{1}^{(2)} x_{N}^{(1)} \\
x_{2}^{(2)} x_{1}^{(1)} & x_{2}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)} & \ldots & x_{2}^{(2)} x_{N}^{(1)} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
x_{N}^{(2)} x_{1}^{(1)} & x_{N}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)} & \ldots & x_{N}^{(2)} x_{N}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

# Early data assimilation ('objective analysis") The method of 'successive corrections" 

Bergthorsson \& Doos (1955), Cressman (1959)


- Analysis is a linear combination of nearby observations, and an a-priori.
- Analysis $\rightarrow$ obs. (obs-rich regions).
- Analysis $\rightarrow$ a-priori (obs-poor regions).
$\checkmark$ Simple scheme to develop.
$\checkmark$ Computationally cheap.
$\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ Use a-priori in absence of observations.

XPoor account of error statistics of obs and a-priori.
XDirect observations only.
XAnomalous spreading of obs. information.
$\mathbf{X N o}$ multivariate relations (e.g. geostrophy).

## 'Optimal' Interpolation (OI)

Introduced in the 1970s - a more powerful formulation of data assimilation

$$
\vec{x}_{A}=\vec{x}_{B}+\mathbf{K}\left(\vec{y}-\vec{h}\left[\vec{x}_{B}\right]\right)
$$

- $\mathbf{K}$ is a rectangular matrix operator (the 'gain matrix').
- $\mathbf{K}$ depends upon the error covariance matrices $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{R}$, and linearization $\mathbf{H}$.
- $\mathbf{K}=\mathbf{B H}^{T}\left(\mathbf{H B H} \mathbf{H}^{T}+\mathbf{R}\right)^{-1}$. The Best Linear Unbiased Estimator (BLUE).
- R : observation error covariance matrix.
- Describes the error statistics of the observations (see later).
- B : background error covariance matrix.
- Describes the error statistics of the a-priori state (see later).
- H: linearized observation operator.
- $\vec{h}\left[\vec{x}_{B}+\delta \vec{x}\right] \approx \vec{h}\left[\vec{x}_{B}\right]+\mathbf{H} \delta \vec{x}$.
$\checkmark$ Account taken of a-priori and obs. error statistics.
$\checkmark$ Allows assimilation of some indirect obs.
$\checkmark$ Use a-priori in absence of obs.
$\boldsymbol{\sim}$ Works as an inverse model.

XToo expensive for single global solution.
XDifficult to know $\mathbf{B}$.
$\mathbf{X}$ No consistency with the equations of motion.


## Types of errors

## 1. Random errors

Data
Arising from
Obs.
Noise
Forecast Stochastic processes in model, init. conds.
Assim. Input data
E.g. repeated measurement of temperature:


## 2. Systematic errors

Data Arising from
Obs. E.g. reading errors
Forecast Model formulation, init. conds.
Assim. Input data, formulation
E.g. As before but with biased thermometer:


Biases should be corrected where possible.
3. Representativeness error

| Data | Arising from |
| :--- | :--- |
| Assim. | Unresolved variability |

E.g. Interpolation of model grid values to location of observation (forward operator $\vec{h}[\vec{x}]$ ):


## Probability distribution functions

- Error statistics are described by a probability density function (PDF).
- PDFs of random and representativeness errors are often expressed together.
- They are often approximated by the normal (Gaussian) distribution.

A scalar (ie a single piece of information), $y$

$P(y) \propto \exp -\frac{(y-\langle y\rangle)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}$
Mean : $\langle y\rangle$
Variance : $\sigma^{2}$
(Std. Lev. : $\sigma$ )

A vector (multiple pieces of information), $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{x}}$


$$
P(\vec{x}) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}(\vec{x}-\langle\vec{x}\rangle) \mathbf{B}^{-1}(\vec{x}-\langle\vec{x}\rangle)\right)
$$

Mean : $\langle\vec{x}\rangle$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Covariance }: \mathbf{B}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\left\langle\delta x_{1}^{2}\right\rangle & \left\langle\delta x_{1} \delta x_{2}\right\rangle & \ldots & \left\langle\delta x_{1} \delta x_{N}\right\rangle \\
\left\langle\delta x_{2} \delta x_{1}\right\rangle & \left\langle\delta x_{2}^{2}\right\rangle & \ldots & \left\langle\delta x_{2} \delta x_{N}\right\rangle \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\left\langle\delta x_{N} \delta x_{1}\right\rangle & \left\langle\delta x_{N} \delta x_{2}\right\rangle & \ldots & \left\langle\delta x_{N}^{2}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right| \\
&=\left\langle\delta \vec{x} \delta \vec{x}^{T}\right\rangle \\
&\text { where } \left.\quad \delta \vec{x}=\underset{\substack{\text { Page } 29 \text { of } 51}}{\vec{x}} \begin{array}{l}
\text { whee }
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example of covariances: forecast as the a-priori

- $\vec{x}_{B}$ is a forecast and so the equations of motion will influence strongly the covariance patterns.

Example: Geostrophic error covariances
Geostrophic balance:

$$
v=-\frac{1}{\rho f} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \quad u=\frac{1}{\rho f} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}
$$



Courtesy, Univ. of Washington
Pressure-pressure covariances assumption:

$$
\left\langle\delta p_{i} \delta p_{j}\right\rangle=\sigma^{2} \exp -\frac{r_{i j}^{2}}{2 L^{2}} \quad \sqrt{2} L \sim 750 \mathrm{~km}
$$

## Variational data assimilation

The 'method of least squares' - simple version

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(\vec{x}) & =\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{B}\right)^{2}+(\vec{y}-\vec{h}[\vec{x}])^{2} \\
J & : \text { cost function (a scalar) } \\
\vec{x}_{B} & : \text { a-priori (background) state } \\
\vec{y} & : \text { observations } \\
\vec{h}[\vec{x}] & : \text { observation operator (forward model) } \\
\vec{x} & : \text { variable } \quad \vec{x}_{A}=\left.\vec{x}\right|_{\min J}=\text { "analysis" }
\end{aligned}
$$



Carl
Fredrich
Gauss
1777-1855

The 'method of least squares' - considering error statistics

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(\vec{x})= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{B}\right)^{T} \mathbf{B}^{-1}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{B}\right)+ \\
& \frac{1}{2}(\vec{y}-\vec{h}[\vec{x}])^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1}(\vec{y}-\vec{h}[\vec{x}])
\end{aligned}
$$

B : background error covariance matrix
R : observation error covariance matrix

- This is the form used in operational weather forecasting, deriving satellite retrievals, etc.
- Non-Euclidean $L_{2}$ norm.
- Assumes perfect forward model, unbiased data.
- This is consistent with a Gaussian model of error statistics (next slide).
- 'Var.' is efficient enough to solve the global problem.


## The Bayesian view of data assimilation

Bayes' Theorem

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
P(\vec{y}, \vec{x})=P(\vec{x} \mid \vec{y}) P(\vec{y}) \\
P(\vec{x}, \vec{y})=P(\vec{y} \mid \vec{x}) P(\vec{x})
\end{array}\right\} \quad P(\vec{x} \mid \vec{y})=\frac{P(\vec{y} \mid \vec{x}) P(\vec{x})}{P(\vec{y})}
$$

Rev. Thomas
Bayes
1702-1761


$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\vec{x} \mid \vec{y}) & \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{B}\right)^{T} \mathbf{B}^{-1}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{B}\right)\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}(\vec{h}[\vec{x}]-\vec{y})^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1}(\vec{h}[\vec{x}]-\vec{y})\right) \\
& \propto \exp -\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{B}\right)^{T} \mathbf{B}^{-1}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{B}\right)+\frac{1}{2}(\vec{h}[\vec{x}]-\vec{y})^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1}(\vec{h}[\vec{x}]-\vec{y})\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Maximum likelihood $\Rightarrow$ Minimum penalty, $J$

$$
\begin{aligned}
J[\vec{x}] & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{B}\right)^{T} \mathbf{B}^{-1}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{B}\right)+\frac{1}{2}(\vec{h}[\vec{x}]-\vec{y})^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1}(\vec{h}[\vec{x}]-\vec{y}) \\
\vec{x}_{A} & =\left.\vec{x}\right|_{\text {min } J}=\text { "analysis" }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Minimising the cost function

The problem reduces to a (badly conditioned) optimisation problem in $10^{7}$-dimensional phase space.

$$
J[\vec{x}]=\frac{1}{2}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{B}\right)^{T} \mathbf{B}^{-1}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{B}\right)+\frac{1}{2}(\vec{h}[\vec{x}]-\vec{y})^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1}(\vec{h}[\vec{x}]-\vec{y})
$$



- Descent algorithms minimize $J$ iteratively.
- They need the local gradient, $\nabla_{\hat{x}} J$ of the cost function at each iteration.
- The adjoint method is used to compute the adjoint.
- The curvature ${ }^{-1}$ (a.k.a. inverse Hessian, $\left.\left(\nabla_{\hat{x}}^{2} J\right)^{-1}\right)$ at $\vec{x}_{A}$ indicates the error statistics of the analysis.
- A very badly conditioned problem.


## Algebraic minimization of the cost function

Under simplified conditions the cost function can be minimized algebraically.

Assume that the linearization of the forward model is reasonable

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vec{h}[\vec{x}] \approx \vec{h}\left[\vec{x}_{B}\right]+\mathbf{H}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{B}\right) \\
J[\vec{x}]=\frac{1}{2}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{B}\right)^{T} \mathbf{B}^{-1}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{B}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{H}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{B}\right)-\left(y-\vec{h}\left[\vec{x}_{B}\right]\right)\right)^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{H}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{B}\right)-\left(y-\vec{h}\left[\vec{x}_{B}\right]\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

1. Calculate the gradient vector

$$
\nabla_{\vec{x}} J=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\partial J / \partial x_{1} \\
\partial J / \partial x_{2} \\
\partial J / \partial x_{N}
\end{array}\right)=\mathbf{B}^{-1}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{B}\right)+\mathbf{H}^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1}(\vec{h}[\vec{x}]-\vec{y})
$$

2. The special $\vec{x}$ that has zero gradient minimizes $J$ (this cost function is quadratic and convex)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.\nabla_{\vec{x}} J\right|_{x_{A}}=0 \\
& \vec{x}_{A}= \vec{x}_{B}+\left(\mathbf{B}^{-1}+\mathbf{H}^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{H}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{H}^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1}\left(\vec{y}-\vec{h}\left[\vec{x}_{B}\right]\right) \\
&= \vec{x}_{B}+\mathbf{B} \mathbf{H}^{T}\left(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{H B} \mathbf{H}^{T}\right)^{-1}\left(\vec{y}-\vec{h}\left[\vec{x}_{B}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the OI formula with the BLUE!

## Types of data assimilation

Sequential data assimilation methods

- Data assimilation performed at each batch of observations.
- Model forecast made between batches (for background).
- E.g. OI, KF* ${ }^{*}$ EnKF*, etc.
$\checkmark$ Explicit formula used for analysis.
$X$ Very expensive.


1d-Var

- Data assimilation performed for vertical profile only, where satellite makes observations.
- Used as a 'pre-main-assimilation' step to produce vertical profiles of model quantities (retrievals) from satellite radiances.

* Kalman Filter (KF) and Ensemble Kalman Filter (EnKF).
- Data assimilation performed every 6 hours.
- 6 hour model forecast between analysis times (for background).
- Adequate for re-analysis.
$\checkmark$ Relatively cheap.
$X$ Observations within $\pm 3$ hours are not at analysis time $\dagger$.
$X$ No dynamical constraint used.


4d-Var

- Data assimilation performed every 6 (12) hours.
- 6 (12) hour model forecast between analysis times (for background).
- Used (e.g.) by ECMWF and Met Office for operational weather forecasting.
$\checkmark$ Model used as a dynamical constraint.
$\checkmark$ Observations are compared to the model trajectory at the correct time.
$X$ Perfect model assumption $\ddagger$.
$X$ Expensive, but not unfeasible.

$\dagger$ 3dFGAT '3d First Guess at Time' is half-way between 3d and 4d-Var.
$\ddagger$ 'Strong constraint' - it is possible to use $4 d-V a r$ with a model under the 'weak-constraint' formulation.


## The 4d-Var cost function



$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left[\vec{x}_{0}\right]= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\vec{x}_{0}-\vec{x}_{B}\right)^{T} \mathbf{B}^{-1}\left(\vec{x}_{0}-\vec{x}_{B}\right)+ \\
& \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t}\left(\vec{h}_{t}\left[\vec{x}_{t}\right]-\vec{y}_{t}\right)^{T} \mathbf{R}_{t}^{-1}\left(\vec{h}_{t}\left[\vec{x}_{t}\right]-\vec{y}_{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- The observation vector comprises subvectors, $\vec{y}_{t}$ for time $t$.
- The observation operator $\vec{h}_{t}$ acts on model state $\vec{x}_{t}$.
- Vary $\vec{x}_{0}$ in the minimization - the state at the start of the 4 d -Var. cycle.
- Future states in the cycle are computed with the forecast model, $\vec{x}_{t}=\underset{t \leftarrow 0}{\vec{M}} \vec{x}_{0}$.
- Forward model is the composite operator $\vec{h}_{t_{t}} M_{0}$.
- Important issues:
- Tangent linear model (and its adjoint) needed and can be difficult to find.
- Forecast model can be highly non-linear (e.g. sensitive dependence in model's convection scheme - on/off 'switches').


## Assimilation of sequences of satellite images in 4d-Var

(Courtesy Samantha Pullen, Met Office)
Sequence of observed brightness temperatures


Sequence of simulated brightness temperatures


## '4d-Var.' demonstration with a double pendulum



$$
\begin{gathered}
\vec{x}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{1} \\
\theta_{2} \\
\dot{\theta}_{1} \\
\dot{\theta}_{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
L=T-V \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}_{i}}\right)=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_{i}} \\
V=\begin{array}{ll}
g m_{1} l_{1} \cos \theta_{1}-g m_{2} l_{2} \cos \theta_{1}- \\
& g m_{3}\left(l_{2} \cos \theta_{1}+l_{3} \cos \theta_{2}\right) \\
T= & 1 / 2 m_{1}\left(\dot{x}_{1}^{2}+\dot{y}_{1}^{2}\right)+ \\
& 1 / 2 m_{2}\left(\dot{x}_{2}^{2}+\dot{y}_{2}^{2}\right)+1 / 2 m_{3}\left(\dot{x}_{3}^{2}+\dot{y}_{3}^{2}\right)
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Demonstrate '4d-Var' with an OSSE - 'Observation System Simulation Experiment'.
- Also known as a 'twin experiment'.
- Choose a set of initial conditions and run the model (truth).
- Add random noise to generate pseudo-observations.
- Forget the truth and try to recover it by assimilating the observations.
- Use observations of $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ only (no observations of $\dot{\theta}_{1}$ and $\dot{\theta}_{2}$ ).


## OSSE demonstration (double pendulum) - truth run



## OSSE demonstration (double pendulum) - '4d-Var.' run



## OSSE demonstration (double pendulum) - 'obs insertion' run



## Issues with data assimilation

- Data assimilation is a computer intensive process.
- For one cycle, 4d-Var. can use up to 100 times more computer power than the forecast.
- The B-matrix (forecast error covariance matrix in Var.) is difficult to deal with.
- Assimilation process is very sensitive to $\mathbf{B}$.
- Least well-known part of data assimilation.
- In operational data assimilation, $\mathbf{B}$ is a $10^{7} \times 10^{7}$ matrix.
- Need to model the B-matrix - use technique of 'control variable transforms'.
- In reality $\mathbf{B}$ is flow dependent. Practically, $\mathbf{B}$ is quasi-static.
- Data assimilation replies on optimality. Issues of suboptimality arise if:
- Actual error distributions are non-Gaussian,
- $\mathbf{B}$ or $\mathbf{R}$ are inappropriate.
- Forward models are inaccurate or are non-linear.
- Data have biases.
- Cost function has not converged adequately (in Var.).
- Assimilation can introduce undesirable imbalances.
- Quantities not constrained by observations can be poor (e.g. diagnosed quantities):
- Precipitation.
- Vertical velocity, etc.

