# **4. DATA ASSIMILATION FUNDAMENTALS**

... [the atmosphere] "is a chaotic system in which errors introduced into the system can grow with time ... As a consequence, data assimilation is a struggle between chaotic destruction of knowledge and its restoration by new observations."

Leith (1993)



### The vector notation for fields and data and the need for an apriori

The 'observation vector',  $\vec{y}$ 

NWP models: ~  $10^6$  elements

The 'forward model',  $\vec{h}$ 

 $\vec{v} = \vec{h}[\vec{x}] + \vec{\varepsilon}$ 



NWP models: >  $10^7$  elements (5 × n × m × L)

- No. of obs. << No. of (unknown) elements in  $\vec{x}$ .
- This is an under-constrained (and inexact) inverse problem.
- Need to fill-in the missing information with prior knowledge.

An 'a-priori' state (a.k.a. 'first guess', 'background', 'forecast') is needed to make the assimilation problem well posed.

### **Vectors and matrices**

Vector/matrix notation is a powerful and compact way of dealing with large volumes of data.

•A matrix operator acts on an input vector to give an output vector, e.g.

$$\vec{x}^{(2)} = \mathbf{A}\vec{x}^{(1)} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{(2)} \\ x_2^{(2)} \\ \dots \\ x_N^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \dots & A_{1N} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \dots & A_{2N} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ A_{N1} & A_{N2} & \dots & A_{NN} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{(1)} \\ x_2^{(1)} \\ \dots \\ x_N^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

- •Matrix products do not commute in general, e.g.  $\vec{x}^{(3)} = \mathbf{ABC}\vec{x}^{(1)} \neq \mathbf{CBA}\vec{x}^{(1)}$
- •Some matrices can be inverted (must be 'square' and non-singluar), e.g.

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1^{(1)} \\ x_2^{(1)} \\ \dots \\ x_N^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (A^{-1})_{11} & (A^{-1})_{12} & \dots & (A^{-1})_{1N} \\ (A^{-1})_{21} & (A^{-1})_{22} & \dots & (A^{-1})_{2N} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ (A^{-1})_{N1} & (A^{-1})_{N2} & \dots & (A^{-1})_{NN} \end{pmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} x_1^{(2)} \\ x_2^{(2)} \\ \dots \\ x_N^{(2)} \end{vmatrix}$$

(matrices are complicated to invert, ie  $(A^{-1})_{ij} \neq A_{ij}^{-1}$ .)

•The matrix transpose make rows into columns and columns into rows (also for vectors), e.g.

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \dots & A_{1N} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \dots & A_{2N} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ A_{N1} & A_{N2} & \dots & A_{NN} \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{A}^{T} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{21} & \dots & A_{N1} \\ A_{12} & A_{22} & \dots & A_{N2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ A_{1N} & A_{2N} & \dots & M_{NN} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \dots \\ x_N \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{x}^{T} = (x_1, x_2, \dots , x_N)$$

•The inner product ('scalar' or 'dot' product), e.g.  $\vec{x}^{(2)}\vec{x}^{(1)} = x_1^{(2)}x_1^{(1)} + x_2^{(2)}x_2^{(1)} + \dots + x_N^{(2)}x_N^{(1)} = \text{scalar}$ •The outer product (a matrix), e.g.

$$\vec{x}^{(2)}\vec{x}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{(2)}x_1^{(1)} & x_1^{(2)}x_2^{(1)} & \dots & x_1^{(2)}x_N^{(1)} \\ x_2^{(2)}x_1^{(1)} & x_2^{(2)}x_2^{(1)} & \dots & x_2^{(2)}x_N^{(1)} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_N^{(2)}x_1^{(1)} & x_N^{(2)}x_2^{(1)} & \dots & x_N^{(2)}x_N^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

### Early data assimilation ("objective analysis") The method of "successive corrections"

Bergthorsson & Doos (1955), Cressman (1959)



• Analysis is a linear combination of nearby observations, and an a-priori.

- Analysis  $\rightarrow$  obs. (obs-rich regions).
- Analysis  $\rightarrow$  a-priori (obs-poor regions).
- ✓ Simple scheme to develop.
- ✓ Computationally cheap.
- ✓Use a-priori in absence of observations.
- ✗Poor account of error statistics of obs and a-priori.
- **★**Direct observations only.
- **★**Anomalous spreading of obs. information.
- XNo multivariate relations (e.g. geostrophy).

### **'Optimal' Interpolation (OI)**

Introduced in the 1970s - a more powerful formulation of data assimilation

 $\vec{x}_A = \vec{x}_B + \mathbf{K} \left( \vec{y} - \vec{h} \left[ \vec{x}_B \right] \right)$ 

- K is a rectangular matrix operator (the 'gain matrix').
  - K depends upon the error covariance matrices B and R, and linearization H.
  - $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{H}^T (\mathbf{H}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{H}^T + \mathbf{R})^{-1}$ . The Best Linear Unbiased Estimator (BLUE).
- **R** : observation error covariance matrix.
  - Describes the error statistics of the observations (see later).
- **B** : background error covariance matrix.
  - Describes the error statistics of the a-priori state (see later).
- **H** : linearized observation operator.
  - $\vec{h} [\vec{x}_B + \delta \vec{x}] \approx \vec{h} [\vec{x}_B] + \mathbf{H} \delta \vec{x}.$
  - ✓Account taken of a-priori and obs. error statistics.
  - ✓ Allows assimilation of some indirect obs.
  - ✓Use a-priori in absence of obs.
  - ✓ Works as an inverse model.

✗Too expensive for single global solution.✗Difficult to know B.

✗No consistency with the equations of motion.



## **Types of errors**

#### **<u>1. Random errors</u>**

Data	Arising from
Obs.	Noise
Forecast	Stochastic processes in model,
	init. conds.
Assim.	Input data

E.g. repeated measurement of temperature:



#### 2. Systematic errors

Data	Arising from
Obs.	E.g. reading errors
Forecast	Model formulation, init. conds.
Assim.	Input data, formulation

E.g. As before but with biased thermometer:



Biases should be corrected where possible.

### 3. Representativeness error

Data	Arising from
Assim.	Unresolved variability

E.g. Interpolation of model grid values to location of observation (forward operator  $\vec{h}[\vec{x}]$ ):



### **Probability distribution functions**

- Error statistics are described by a probability density function (PDF).
- PDFs of random and representativeness errors are often expressed together.
- They are often approximated by the normal (Gaussian) distribution.



Page 29 of 51

### **Example of covariances: forecast as the a-priori**

•  $\vec{x}_B$  is a forecast and so the equations of motion will influence strongly the covariance patterns.

Example: Geostrophic error covariances

Geostrophic balance:  $v = -\frac{1}{\rho f} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \qquad u = \frac{1}{\rho f} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}$   $v = -\frac{1}{\rho f} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \qquad u = \frac{1}{\rho f} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}$ 

Courtesy, Univ. of Washington

Pressure-pressure covariances assumption:

$$\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle = \sigma^2 \exp{-\frac{r_{ij}^2}{2L^2}} \qquad \sqrt{2}L \sim 750 \text{ km}$$



### Variational data assimilation

The 'method of least squares' - simple version

 $J(\vec{x}) = (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_B)^2 + (\vec{y} - \vec{h}[\vec{x}])^2$ 

- J : cost function (a scalar)
- $\vec{x}_B$ : a-priori (background) state
- $\vec{y}$ : observations
- $\vec{h}[\vec{x}]$  : observation operator (forward model)
  - $\vec{x}$ : variable  $\vec{x}_A = \vec{x}|_{\min J} =$  "analysis"



Carl Fredrich Gauss 1777-1855 The 'method of least squares' - considering error statistics

$$J(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_B)^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_B) + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{y} - \vec{h} [\vec{x}])^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} (\vec{y} - \vec{h} [\vec{x}])$$

- **B** : background error covariance matrix
- $\mathbf{R}$ : observation error covariance matrix
- This is the form used in operational weather forecasting, deriving satellite retrievals, etc.
- Non-Euclidean *L*<sub>2</sub> norm.
- Assumes perfect forward model, unbiased data.
- This is consistent with a Gaussian model of error statistics (next slide).
- 'Var.' is efficient enough to solve the global problem.

### The Bayesian view of data assimilation

Bayes 1702-1761

### Rev. Thomas **Bayes'** Theorem $\begin{array}{l} P(\vec{y}, \vec{x}) = P(\vec{x} \mid \vec{y}) P(\vec{y}) \\ P(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = P(\vec{y} \mid \vec{x}) P(\vec{x}) \end{array} \end{array} P(\vec{x} \mid \vec{y}) = \frac{P(\vec{y} \mid \vec{x}) P(\vec{x})}{P(\vec{y})}$ $\propto P(\vec{x})P(\vec{y} \mid \vec{x})$



$$P(\vec{x} \mid \vec{y}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_B)^T \mathbf{B}^{-1}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_B)\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\vec{h}[\vec{x}] - \vec{y})^T \mathbf{R}^{-1}(\vec{h}[\vec{x}] - \vec{y})\right)$$
  
$$\propto \exp\left(-\left(\frac{1}{2}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_B)^T \mathbf{B}^{-1}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_B) + \frac{1}{2}(\vec{h}[\vec{x}] - \vec{y})^T \mathbf{R}^{-1}(\vec{h}[\vec{x}] - \vec{y})\right)\right)$$

Maximum likelihood  $\Rightarrow$  Minimum penalty, J

$$J[\vec{x}] = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_B)^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_B) + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{h} [\vec{x}] - \vec{y})^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} (\vec{h} [\vec{x}] - \vec{y})$$
  
$$\vec{x}_A = \vec{x}|_{\min J} = \text{"analysis"}$$

1

### Minimising the cost function

The problem reduces to a (badly conditioned) optimisation problem in  $10^7$ -dimensional phase space.

$$J[\vec{x}] = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_B)^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_B) + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{h} [\vec{x}] - \vec{y})^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} (\vec{h} [\vec{x}] - \vec{y})$$

- Descent algorithms minimize *J* iteratively.
- They need the local gradient,  $\nabla_{\vec{x}} J$  of the cost function at each iteration.
- The adjoint method is used to compute the adjoint.
- The curvature<sup>-1</sup> (a.k.a. inverse Hessian,  $(\nabla_{\vec{x}}^2 J)^{-1}$ ) at  $\vec{x}_A$  indicates the error statistics of the analysis.
  - A very badly conditioned problem.

### Algebraic minimization of the cost function

Under simplified conditions the cost function can be minimized algebraically.

Assume that the linearization of the forward model is reasonable

 $\rightarrow$ 

$$h[\vec{x}] \approx h[\vec{x}_B] + \mathbf{H}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_B)$$
$$J[\vec{x}] = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_B)^T \mathbf{B}^{-1}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_B) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{H}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_B) - (y - \vec{h}[\vec{x}_B]\vec{y})^T \mathbf{R}^{-1}(\mathbf{H}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_B) - (y - \vec{h}[\vec{x}_B]\vec{y}))$$

 $\rightarrow$ 

1. Calculate the gradient vector

$$\nabla_{\vec{x}}J = \begin{pmatrix} \partial J / \partial x_1 \\ \partial J / \partial x_2 \\ \partial J / \partial x_N \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{B}^{-1} (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_B) + \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} (\vec{h} [\vec{x}] - \vec{y})$$

2. The special  $\vec{x}$  that has zero gradient minimizes J (this cost function is quadratic and convex)

$$\nabla_{\vec{x}} J \big|_{x_A} = 0$$
  
$$\vec{x}_A = \vec{x}_B + (\mathbf{B}^{-1} + \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} (\vec{y} - \vec{h} [\vec{x}_B])$$
  
$$= \vec{x}_B + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{H}^T (\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{H} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{H}^T)^{-1} (\vec{y} - \vec{h} [\vec{x}_B])$$

This is the OI formula with the BLUE!

### **Types of data assimilation**

### Sequential data assimilation methods

- Data assimilation performed at each batch of observations.
- Model forecast made between batches (for background).
- E.g. OI, KF\*, EnKF\*, etc.
- ✓ Explicit formula used for analysis.
- **✗** Very expensive.



#### <u>1d-Var</u>

- Data assimilation performed for vertical profile only, where satellite makes observations.
- Used as a 'pre-main-assimilation' step to produce vertical profiles of model quantities (retrievals) from satellite radiances.



\* Kalman Filter (KF) and Ensemble Kalman Filter (EnKF).

#### <u>3d-Var</u>

- Data assimilation performed every 6 hours.
- 6 hour model forecast between analysis times (for background).
- Adequate for re-analysis.
- ✓ Relatively cheap.
- ✗Observations within ±3 hours are not at analysis time<sup>†</sup>.

XNo dynamical constraint used.



### <u>4d-Var</u>

- Data assimilation performed every 6 (12) hours.
- 6 (12) hour model forecast between analysis times (for background).
- Used (e.g.) by ECMWF and Met Office for operational weather forecasting.
- ✓ Model used as a dynamical constraint.
- ✓ Observations are compared to the model trajectory at the correct time.

XPerfect model assumption‡.XExpensive, but not unfeasible.



† 3dFGAT '3d First Guess at Time' is half-way between 3d and 4d-Var.

**‡** 'Strong constraint' - it is possible to use 4d-Var with a model under the 'weak-constraint' formulation. Ross Bannister, EO and DA, QUEST ES4 2006.
Page 36 of 51

### The 4d-Var cost function



- The observation vector comprises subvectors,  $\vec{y}_t$  for time *t*.
- The observation operator  $\vec{h}_t$  acts on model state  $\vec{x}_t$ .
- Vary  $\vec{x}_0$  in the minimization the state at the start of the 4d-Var. cycle.
- Future states in the cycle are computed with the forecast model,  $\vec{x}_t = \vec{M}_0 \vec{x}_0$ .
- Forward model is the composite operator  $\vec{h}_{t_t} \underbrace{M}_{t_t \leftarrow 0}$ .
- Important issues:
  - Tangent linear model (and its adjoint) needed and can be difficult to find.
  - Forecast model can be highly non-linear (e.g. sensitive dependence in model's convection scheme on/off 'switches').

### Assimilation of sequences of satellite images in 4d-Var

(Courtesy Samantha Pullen, Met Office)

#### Sequence of observed brightness temperatures





#### Sequence of simulated brightness temperatures



Ross Bannister, EO and DA, QUEST ES4 2006.

#### Page 38 of 51

### '4d-Var.' demonstration with a double pendulum



$$\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \dot{\theta}_1 \\ \dot{\theta}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$L = T - V \qquad \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}_i}\right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i}$$

$$V = gm_1 l_1 \cos \theta_1 - gm_2 l_2 \cos \theta_1 - gm_3 (l_2 \cos \theta_1 + l_3 \cos \theta_2)$$
$$T = \frac{1}{2} m_1 (\dot{x}_1^2 + \dot{y}_1^2) + \frac{1}{2} m_2 (\dot{x}_2^2 + \dot{y}_2^2) + \frac{1}{2} m_3 (\dot{x}_3^2 + \dot{y}_3^2)$$

- Demonstrate '4d-Var' with an OSSE 'Observation System Simulation Experiment'.
- Also known as a 'twin experiment'.
  - Choose a set of initial conditions and run the model (truth).
  - Add random noise to generate pseudo-observations.
  - Forget the truth and try to recover it by assimilating the observations.
  - Use observations of  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  only (no observations of  $\dot{\theta}_1$  and  $\dot{\theta}_2$ ).

### **OSSE** demonstration (double pendulum) - truth run



### **OSSE demonstration (double pendulum) - '4d-Var.' run**



### **OSSE demonstration (double pendulum) - 'obs insertion' run**



### **Issues with data assimilation**

- Data assimilation is a computer intensive process.
  - For one cycle, 4d-Var. can use up to 100 times more computer power than the forecast.
- The **B**-matrix (forecast error covariance matrix in Var.) is difficult to deal with.
  - Assimilation process is very sensitive to **B**.
  - Least well-known part of data assimilation.
  - In operational data assimilation, **B** is a  $10^7 \times 10^7$  matrix.
  - Need to model the **B**-matrix use technique of 'control variable transforms'.
  - In reality **B** is flow dependent. Practically, **B** is quasi-static.
- Data assimilation replies on optimality. Issues of suboptimality arise if:
  - Actual error distributions are non-Gaussian,
  - **B** or **R** are inappropriate.
  - Forward models are inaccurate or are non-linear.
  - Data have biases.
  - Cost function has not converged adequately (in Var.).
- Assimilation can introduce undesirable imbalances.
- Quantities not constrained by observations can be poor (e.g. diagnosed quantities):
  - Precipitation.
  - Vertical velocity, etc.